2

6

9

10 17 18

37

Snow distribution pattern and its influencing factors in a small watershed in Atlantic Canada

Sheng Li Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada Sheng.Li@agr.gc.ca

Yongbo Liu University of Guelph Guelph, Ontario, Canada

19 Abstract—Snowmelt events contribute significantly to surface 20 runoff and sediment and nutrient loadings in cold climate regions 21 such as in most part of Canada. To better understand the snowmelt 22 hydrology, a snow survey was carried out in a small watershed in 23 New Brunswick, Canada in March, 2012. Snow cores were sampled 24 from 33 predetermined locations, covering different land uses and 25 slope positions. The snow depth ranged from 0.17 m to 0.74 m and 26 averaged at 0.36 m. The water equivalent depth of the snow ranged 27 from 0.06 m to 0.23 m and averaged at 0.10 m. Forested area had 28 significantly deeper snow than cropped area. Points on the edge of 29 the forested area had the deepest snow. The variability of snow 30 depth was high and the variation did not correlated well with 31 terrain attributes extracted from a 30 m grid DEM. A Ground 32 Penetrating Radar (GPR) was used to measure the snow depth 33 along transects. The GPR was effective in detecting the soil surface 34 under the snow. The GPR data also demonstrated the strong effect 35 of local topography on snow depth. Future studies are required to 36 examine the use of these data for snow depth predictions.

INTRODUCTION (HEADING 1)

Studies on watershed hydrology and water quality have 38 39 focused on rainfall events and rainfall induced runoff. In cold 40 climate regions, such as in most of Canada, a large portion of the 41 annual precipitation is in the form of snow fall. As a result, 42 snowmelt runoff has reported to consist more than 30% of the 43 total runoff at some water monitoring stations in this region 44 (Chow and Rees, 2002) as well as in several other sites in Canada 45 (e.g., Li et al., 2011). However, our knowledge on snowmelt 46 hydrology is limited, which has been identified as a major 47 restriction on the modeling and interpretation of water quality at 48 the watershed scale. One of the limitations is due to the lack of 49 input information on snow distribution, which is normally not 50 uniform in a watershed and is affected by many factors such as 51 climate, topography and land use. The objectives of this study are 52 to measure snow distribution pattern and to determine dominant 53 factors for snow distribution in a small watershed in cold climate 54 region.

11

12	Fangzhou Zheng, Zisheng Xing, Fanrui Meng, Karl
13	Butler
14	University of New Brunswick
15	Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada
16	





MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site 60

59

The study was carried out in the Black Brook Watershed 61 62 (BBW) in the province of New Brunswick, Canada. The area of 63 BBW is approximately 1,450 ha. The climate in this region is 64 moderately cool boreal, with an average annual temperature of 65 3.2°C and approximately 120 frost-free days (Fig. 1). Annual 66 rainfall, snowfall and total precipitation averaged at 769 mm, 354 67 mm and 1,092 mm, respectively. Elevations in BBW range from 68 150 to 241 m above sea level (Fig. 2). Most of the area in BBW 69 is undulating to gently rolling with slopes of 1-6% in the upper 70 portion, 4–9% in the central part and 5–16% in the lower part of 71 the watershed. Soils were predominantly moderately well drained 72 Orthic Humo-Ferric Podzols, developed on coarse-textured till. 73 The major land-use within the watershed is agriculture, which 74 accounts for 65% of the total watershed area (Fig. 2). The major 75 crops are potato and barley, followed by other crops in rotation. 76 Approximately 25% of the watershed is forested. Roads, urban 77 areas and streams cover the remaining 10% of the watershed.

Snowmelt discharge and snowmelt erosion play an important 78 79 role in the BBW. Chow and Rees (2002) reported that 80 approximately 36% of discharge and 39% of sediment loadings 81 measured at the watershed outlet occurred during the snow82 melting period in April. The source of runoff and sediment load 123 The GPR survey tracks were designed to go through some of the 84 of snow cover and watershed characteristics. Therefore, 125 calibrated against the manual measurements. 85 examining the spatial and temporal variations of snow cover over 86 the watershed has both practical and scientific significance in 126 87 understanding snow hydrology and in particular the management 88 of BMPs related to snowmelt runoff, sediment loading, and water 89 quality.



90

91 Figure 2. Maps of a) topography; and b) land use in 2002 for the Black Brook Watershed. Manual snow sampling locations and three example Ground 92 Penetrating Radar (GPR) survey tracks were shown in both maps. 93

Field snow sampling and survey 94

A field snow sampling and survey campaign was carried out 95 96 on March 21st and 22nd, 2012. Snow depth (SnD) and weight-97 based snow water equivalent depth (WED) were measured using 98 a snow sampling kit at 33 predetermined locations (Fig. 2). A 99 snow redistribution model developed by Guelph Watershed 100 Evaluation Group (2010) was used to estimate the general pattern 156 pasture fields were in between those of the Forest and Cropped 101 of snow depth as a result of variations in land use and terrain 157 fields, but the differences were not statistically significant (Fig. 102 attributes. The terrain attributes were calculated based on a 30 m 158 3). The mean WED values for forest and pasture fields were the 103 grid Digital Elevation Model (DEM), which is derived from the 159 same and were significantly higher than that of the cropped field. 104 lidar data collected in 2007 (approximately $1 - 2 \text{ m}^2$ per point, 105 error at the level of 0.3 m horizontally). The selected 33 locations 106 represented the major variations of these variables across the 160 TABLE I. 107 landscape. The coordinates of these locations were recorded 162 108 using a Trimble GeoXH GPS system with a theoretical real-time 109 accuracy at the level of 10 cm.

For detailed in-field snow depth variation, a total of 18 110 111 transects were surveyed using a Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) 112 system (pulseEKKO Pro, Sensors and Software) with a 500 MHz 113 antenna. The GPR unit sends out radio waves and detects the 114 return waves reflected from the snow and ground interface. The 115 time takes for the radio wave to return is converted to the depth 116 of the snow (from snow surface to the snow and ground 117 interface). During the survey, the GPR was secured in a toboggan 118 sled, which is attached to a snowmobile. The snowmobile was 119 moving at a speed of ~10 km hr⁻¹ and point interval for the 120 measurement was approximately 0.2 m. A handheld Garmin GPS 121 with an accuracy of ~5-10 m was connected to the GPR unit to 122 record the coordinates and elevation of each measuring point.

83 coming from snowmelt strongly relies on the spatial distribution 124 manually measured sampling points so that the GPR data can be

Statistical analyses

127 The manual sampling points were categorized into three 128 general land use types (i.e., forest, pasture and cropped). Analysis 129 of Variance (ANOVA) was conducted for the snow depth and 130 snow water equivalent depth data to examine the effect of land 131 use. The effect of topography was considered as random noise 132 and was not considered specifically in the ANOVA. A Tukey's 133 test was used for paired comparisons between the means of 134 different land uses. For each sampling point, a set of terrain 135 attributes—including elevation, aspect and slope gradient and 136 slope curvature along the predominant wind direction (north-137 west) —were extracted from the 30 DEM derived from the lidar 138 data. The effects of these terrain attributes on SnD and WED 139 were examined using simple linear correlation analyses. The 140 correlation analyses were conducted for all data and also for each 141 sub-dataset for individual land use type. A t-test was used to 142 determine the significance of the correlation coefficients.

RESULTS

144 Snow depth and snow water equivalent depth both were 145 highly variable across the landscape (Table 1). With all sampling 146 points considered, the coefficients of variation (CVs) for SnD 147 and WED were 41% and 34%, respectively. Within the same 148 land use types, the CV values ranged from 20% to 36%, which 149 were still very high. Despite the high level of variability of the 150 data, the ANOVA tests indicated that for SnD and WED, the 151 effect of land use was significant (P = 0.001 and P = 0.03 for 152 SnD and WED, respectively). The mean SnD and WED both 153 followed the order of Forest > Pasture > Cropped. The Tukey's 154 test suggested that mean SnD in forest fields was significantly 155 higher than that in Cropped fields, whereas the mean SnD in

SIMPLE STATISTICS FOR THE SNOW DEPTH AND SNOW WATER 161 EQUIVALENT DEPTH DATA MEASURED MANUALLY AT THE SAMPLING POINTS (SD = STANDARD DEVIATION; AND CV = COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION)

	Forest	Pasture	Cropped	All
n	13	6	14	33
Snow Depth				
Mean (cm)	45	38	26	36
SD (cm)	15	13	7	15
CV (%)	34	35	26	41
Water Equivalent	Depth			
Mean (cm)	12	12	9	10
SD (cm)	4	3	2	3
CV (%)	36	29	21	34

143

Geomorphometry.org/2013 character in picture string.



165 Figure 3. Summary of the snow depth and snow water equivalent depth data and the multiple comparison results based on the Tukey's test. The blue bar 166 indicates the mean value; the upper and lower bounds of the box indicated the 167 values of mean \pm standard deviation, respectively; and the upper and lower ends 168 of the whisker indicate the maximum and minimum values, respectively. 169

Correlation coefficients between SnD/WED and all four 198 Figure 4. Upper: Snow depth along one of the 18 tracks, determined using a 170 171 terrain attributes examined in this study were all non-significant 199 Ground Penetrating Radar unit the Black Brook Watershed; and Bottom: colored $_{172}$ at P = 0.10 (Table 2). Only a few correlation coefficients were 200 shaded relief map showing the topography and the position of the GPR survey 173 significant even when the significant level was lowered to P = 201174 0.20. However, with only one exception, there appeared to be a 175 consistent trend of negative correlations between SnD/WED and 202 176 slope gradient and between SnD/WED and slope curvature. This 177 suggests that topography may have some profound impact on 203 178 snow distribution.

TABLE II. CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS (R-VALUES) BETWEEN SNOW 179 180 DEPTH/SNOW WATER EQUIVALENT DEPTH AND TERRAIN ATTRIBUTES EXTRACTED FROM A 30 M GRID DEM. NO R-VALUE WAS SIGNIFICANT AT P = 0.10. Bold 181 FACED R-VALUES WERE SIGNIFICANT AT P = 0.20. 182

	n	Elevation	Aspect	Slope Gradient [§]	Slope Curvature
Snow Depth					
Forest	13	-0.35	0.04	-0.22	0.20
Pasture	6	0.65	0.65	-0.22	-0.13
Cropped	14	-0.03	-0.37	-0.38	-0.06
All	33	-0.27	0.10	-0.13	0.26
Water Equivalent	Depth				
Forest	13	-0.19	0.04	-0.30	-0.16
Pasture	6	0.70	0.15	-0.33	-0.02
Cropped	14	-0.13	-0.14	-0.43	-0.40
All	33	-0.15	0.05	-0.25	-0.03

184 §. Terrain attributes along the predominant wind direction, i.e. north-west direction The GPR data provided further evidence of the effects of 185 186 topography on snow distribution. It appeared that local variations 187 in snow depth is strongly affected by small scale topographic 226 188 features, in particular some manmade linear features. An example 227 and terrain attributes could be due to several reasons. One 189 was shown in Fig. 4. The shallow snow depths at location b and e 228 possible reason is that the random variations of snow depth were 190 were likely due to the fact that these two locations are on the top 229 too high to detect, with the limited sampling points we had. 191 of knolls. In contrast, a deep snow depth at location c may be a 230 Another reason could be that there are strong interactions 192 reflection of the local depression at this location. The effect of 231 between different terrain attributes such that the effect of any 193 man-made linear features are most visible at location a and d, 232 individual terrain attribute was non-linear. Consequently, the 194 located near an in-field trench-like feature and a field boundary, 233 simple linear correlation was not suited for the analysis and the 195 respectively. In both cases, there were high peaks of snow depth 234 coefficients were all non-significant. Lastly, the effect of 196 observed.



track on the ground.

DISCUSSION

The effect of land use on snow distribution was expected. On 204 forest and pasture lands, living plants serve as snow traps and 205 prevent the redistribution of snow by wind. On cropped fields, 206 the ground was mostly bare in the winter and fallen snow can be 207 reactivated and redistributed by wind. The windblown snow can 208 be deposited along field boundaries, roads or forest edges, 209 creating large snow banks along these linear features (e.g., Fig. 210 4). On the other hand, additional surface roughness created by the 211 living plants on forest and pasture lands may have contributed to 212 the high variations in snow depth (Table 1). It should be noted 213 that the snow survey was carried out in the transition period from ²¹⁴ winter to spring in BBW, when the snow cover is normally the 215 deepest. However, the temperature rose earlier than normal in 216 2012 and snowmelt has started before the survey dates. As a 217 result, the measured snow depth was not at its highest level. Also, 218 the snow has high water content, especially towards the bottom 219 of the snow profile, due to the high air temperature. This is 220 evidenced in the high density of the snow (the ratio of WED over 221 SnD is much higher than 0.1, which is the most common factor 222 used to convert SnD to WED). To better understand the dynamic 223 nature of snow redistribution snowmelt, future research is 224 suggested to carry out multiple snow survey campaigns over the 225 period of the snow coverage in the winter.

The non-significant correlations found between SnD/WED 235 topography may be scale dependent, as evidenced in the GPR 236 data, which showing the effects of small scale topographic 237 features and man-made linear features on local snow depth 238 variations (Fig. 4). Future study is suggested to consider the use 239 of multivariate models for statistical analysis to account for the 240 interactions among variables and to examine the effects of the

KeyAuthor et al.Error! Unknown

Geomorphometry.org/2013 character in picture string.

²⁴¹ same set of terrain attributes at different scales, extracted from ²⁴² DEMs of different grid sizes.

This study also tested the applicability of GPR on snow depth 244 survey. The 500 MHz antenna appeared to work well with the 245 snow depth range of 0.2 - 1.0 m. Due to the direct reflection of 246 the device, snow depth within 0.1 m is nearly impossible to 247 detect (reflection cannot be separated from the direct reflection 248 from the unit itself). Beyond 2.0 m, return radio signals are 249 mostly being absorbed by the snow and, therefore, are hard to 250 detect. Nevertheless, the GPR did provide detailed snow depth 251 information and can be very useful in future studies of snow 252 depth survey.

253

262

CONCLUSIONS

Our field survey data suggested that land use had significant effect on snow distribution. Terrain attributes extracted from a 30 be m DEM did not show significant correlations with snow depth but slope gradient and slope curvature did show consistent, est although non-significant, negative correlations with snow depth, but slope gradient and slope curvature did show consistent, est although non-significant, negative correlations with snow depth, est although non-significant for the strong effect of small scale est topography and man-made features on snow distribution pattern.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study is funded by the Watershed Evaluation of Best Analysis and Practices (WEBs) project under the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. The authors would like to thank Dr. Wanhong Yang W. from U of Guelph for his valuable inputs, Ar. Andrew Ringeri and Hangyong Zhu from U of New Brunswick and John Monteith, Lionel Stevens and Sylvie Lavoie from the AAFC-PRC for assisting with field work. The author would also like to express their appreciations to the WEBs manage team, particularly Brook Harker, Terrie Hoppe, Irene Hanuta and Terra Jamieson for their continuous support.

273

274

REFERENCES

275 [1] Chow, T. L. and Rees, H.W. 2002. Impacts of Intensive Potato Production
276 on Water Yield and Sediment Load (Black Brook Experimental Watershed,
277 1992–2002 Summary). Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Fredericton, New
278 Brunswick, 26 pp.

279 [2] Guelph Watershed Evaluation Group, 2010. Modifying WetSpa and 280 SWAT for snow redistribution in the Steppler and STC watershed, A Research 281 Report to AAFC.

Li,S., J.A. Elliot, K.H.D. Tiessen, J. Yarotski, D.A. Lobb and D.N. Flaten.
283 2011a. The effects of multiple Beneficial Management Practices on hydrology
284 and nutrient losses in a small watershed in the Canadian Prairies. J.
285 Environmental Quality 40:1627-1642.

286 [4] Yang Qi, Glenn Benoy, Zhengyong Zhao, Thien Lien Chow, Charles P.-A.
 287 2011.Bourque and Fan-Rui Meng, Watershed-level analysis of exceedance
 288 frequencies for different management strategies, Water Quality Research Journal
 289 of Canada, 46, 64-73.